arXiv:1609.02486v1 [math.AT] 8 Sep 2016

HOMOTOPY TYPES OF GAUGE GROUPS OVER NON-SIMPLY-CONNECTED CLOSED 4-MANIFOLDS

TSE LEUNG SO

Abstract. Let G be a simply-connected simple compact Lie group and let M be an orientable smooth closed 4-manifold. In this paper we calculate the homotopy type of the suspension of M and the homotopy types of the gauge groups of principal G-bundles over M when $\pi_1(M)$ is: (1) a free group $\mathbb{Z}^{*m}$, (2) a cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$ where p is an odd prime, or (3) a free product of the previous two types.

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper G is a simply-connected simple compact Lie group and M is an orientable smooth closed 4-manifold. Given a principal G-bundle $P \to M$, its associated gauge group $\mathcal{G}(P)$ is the group of G-equivariant automorphisms of P which fix M and the pointed gauge group $\mathcal{G}^*(P)$ is the subgroup containing those automorphisms which restrict to the identity on the fiber over the basepoint. In [1], Atiyah and Bott show that $B\mathcal{G}(P)$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to $\mathrm{Map}_P(M, BG)$, the component of the space of maps from M to BG that contains the map inducing P. Similarly there is a weak homotopy equivalence between $B\mathcal{G}^*(M)$ and the pointed mapping space $\mathrm{Map}^*_P(M, BG)$. In what follows we do not distinguish between $B\mathcal{G}(P)$ and $\mathrm{Map}_P(M, BG)$.

The isomorphism class of P is classified by $[M, BG]$. In the cases we consider $[M, BG]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ and each principal G-bundle P corresponds to some integer k. Denote its associated gauge group by $\mathcal{G}_k(M)$. In [11] Theriault shows that when M is a simply-connected spin 4-manifold with $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ of rank m there is a homotopy equivalence

$$\mathcal{G}_k(M) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(S^4) \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \Omega^2 G. \tag{1}$$

This reduces the calculation of the homotopy type of $\mathcal{G}_k(M)$ to that of $\mathcal{G}_k(S^4)$. In the non-spin case the homotopy equivalence still holds when localized away from 2.

The goal of this paper is to study the homotopy types of $\mathcal{G}_k(M)$ for non-simply-connected M. It turns out that under certain conditions the structure of ΣM determines a decomposition of $\mathcal{G}_k(M)$. In Section 2 we develop lemmas which lead to a statement relating a splitting of ΣM to a decomposition of $\mathcal{G}_k(M)$. More precisely, if there is a homotopy equivalence

$$\Sigma M \simeq \Sigma(X^n) \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} \Sigma Y^i)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54C35, 55P40; Secondary 55R10.
Key words and phrases. homotopy decomposition, gauge groups, 4-manifolds.

such that X^n and the Y^i's are CW-complexes satisfying the conditions described in Proposition 2.8, then $\mathcal{G}_k(M)$ will admit a homotopy decomposition

$$\mathcal{G}_k(M) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(X^n) \times \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{Map}_0^*(Y^i, G),$$

where $\mathrm{Map}_0^*(Y, G)$ is the connected component containing the basepoint. In Section 3 we apply this result and calculate ΣM and $\mathcal{G}_k(M)$ for three specific cases of non-simply-connected 4-manifolds M, in which the Y^i's are spheres and Moore spaces, and X^n is either S^4 or $\mathbb{CP}^2$ according to the structure of ΣM.

2. A homotopy decomposition of gauge groups

In this section we develop some useful lemmas that apply more generally to an orientable 4-dimensional CW-complex X with one 4-cell. For $i \geq 1$ let X_i be its i-skeleton and let $f : S^3 \to X_3$ be the attaching map of the 4-cell. We begin by showing that the isomorphism class of principal G-bundles over X is classified by $\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 2.1. *There is an isomorphism $[X, BG] \cong \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. The homotopy cofibration sequences

$$X_3 \to X \xrightarrow{p} S^4 \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} \Sigma(X_3) \quad \text{and} \quad X_2 \to X_3 \xrightarrow{q} X_3/X_2$$

give the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & [\Sigma(X_3/X_2), BG] & & & & \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\Sigma q)^*} & & & & \\ [\Sigma(X_2), BG] & \longrightarrow & [\Sigma(X_3), BG] & \xrightarrow{(\Sigma f)^*} & [S^4, BG] & \xrightarrow{p^*} & [X, BG] \longrightarrow [X_3, BG] \\ \downarrow & & & & & & \\ [\Sigma(X_2), BG] & & & & & & \end{array}$$

where the row and the column are exact sequences. We will show that p^* is an isomorphism. Since BG is 3-connected, $[X_3, BG]$ and $[\Sigma(X_2), BG]$ are zero. Therefore p^* is an epimorphism and any map $g \in [\Sigma(X_3), BG]$ has a lifting $\tilde{g}$ through $(\Sigma q)^*$ to $[\Sigma(X_3/X_2), BG]$. The latter implies that $(\Sigma f)^* g = (\Sigma(q \circ f))^* \tilde{g}$. Note that the composition $q \circ f$ is the differential map of the 4-cell in the cellular chain complex of X. Since $H_4(X)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$, this implies that $q \circ f$ is null homotopic. Therefore $\Sigma(q \circ f)^* = 0$ and so $(\Sigma f)^* = 0$. Hence p^* is also an injection. □

Lemma 2.1 says that any principal G-bundle over X corresponds to some integer k so our notation $\mathcal{G}_k(X)$ is justified.

When X is simply-connected, it admits a minimal cell structure so X_3 is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of 2-spheres $\bigvee_{i=1}^m S^2$. The homotopy equivalence in (1) is obtained as a consequence of the attaching map f of the 4-cell in X having the property that Σf is null homotopic. So if we can find a subcomplex $Y \subset X_3$ such that the attachment of f onto Y vanishes after suspension, then $\mathcal{G}_k(X)$ can be decomposed into a mapping space of Y and other factors following the same argument.

Lemma 2.2. *If $\Sigma(X_3) \simeq \bigvee_{i=1}^n Y_i$, then Σf is homotopic to $\sum_{i=1}^n (p_i \circ \Sigma f)$ where p_i is the composition $p_i : \Sigma(X_3) \xrightarrow{pinch} Y_i \hookrightarrow \Sigma(X_3)$.*

Proof. Since Σf is a co-H-map, there is a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^4 & \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} & \Sigma(X_3) & \xrightarrow{g+h} & \Sigma(X_3) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \nabla} \\ S^4 \vee S^4 & \xrightarrow{\Sigma f \vee \Sigma f} & \Sigma(X_3) \vee \Sigma(X_3) & \xrightarrow{g \vee h} & \Sigma(X_3) \end{array}$$

where σ is the comultiplication and ∇ is the folding map. The map along the bottom row is homotopic to $(g \circ \Sigma f) \vee (h \circ \Sigma f)$, so we have $(g+h) \circ \Sigma f \simeq g \circ \Sigma f + h \circ \Sigma f$.

Go back to our case. Observe that $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i$ is the identity map in $\Sigma(X_3)$. Therefore we have $\Sigma f = (\sum_{i=1}^n p_i) \circ \Sigma f \simeq \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i \circ \Sigma f)$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that there exist a CW-complex Y of dimension at most 3 and a map $\phi : Y \to X$ such that $\Sigma\phi$ has a left homotopy inverse. Then $\Sigma X \simeq \Sigma Y \vee \Sigma X'$, where X' is the homotopy cofibre of ϕ, and there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\mathcal{G}_k(X) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(X') \times Map_0^*(Y, G),$$

where $Map_0^*(Y, G)$ is the connected component containing the basepoint.

Proof. The homotopy cofibration sequence $Y \xrightarrow{\phi} X \xrightarrow{q} X' \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \Sigma Y$ induces a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow [\Sigma X, BG] \xrightarrow{(\Sigma\phi)^*} [\Sigma Y, BG] \xrightarrow{\epsilon^*} [X', BG] \xrightarrow{q^*} [X, BG] \xrightarrow{\phi^*} [Y, BG].$$

Since $\Sigma\phi$ has a left homotopy inverse, the map ϵ is null homotopic and ϵ^* is zero. By hypothesis, the dimension of Y is at most 3 and BG is 3-connected, so $[Y, BG]$ is zero. Therefore by exactness $q^* : [X', BG] \to [X, BG]$ is an isomorphism.

Fix an integer k and consider evaluation fibrations

$$\mathrm{Map}_k^*(X, BG) \to \mathrm{Map}_k(X, BG) \to BG \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathrm{Map}_k^*(X', BG) \to \mathrm{Map}_k(X', BG) \to BG.$$

Since q^* is an isomorphism, by naturality there is commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} G & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_k^*(X', BG) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_k(X', BG) & \longrightarrow & BG \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q^*} & & \| \\ G & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_k^*(X, BG) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_k(X, BG) & \longrightarrow & BG \end{array} \tag{2}$$

As in [11], from the left square in (2) we obtain a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \Omega\mathrm{Map}_k^*(X, BG) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{Map}_k^*(\Sigma X, BG) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G}_k(X') & \xrightarrow{q^*} & \mathcal{G}_k(X) & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathrm{Map}_{k'}^*(\Sigma Y, BG) \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \epsilon^*} \\ \mathcal{G}_k(X') & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_k^*(X', BG) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathrm{Map}_k^*(X, BG) & = & \mathrm{Map}_k^*(X, BG) \end{array}$$

Here $\mathrm{Map}^*_{k'}(\Sigma Y, BG)$ is some connected component. It is known that all connected components of $\mathrm{Map}^*(\Sigma Y, BG)$ are homotopy equivalent as ΣY is a co-H-space [10], so we may replace it by $\mathrm{Map}^*_0(\Sigma Y, BG)$. Since ϵ is null homotopic, ϵ^* is trivial and therefore h has a right homotopy inverse. The group multiplication in $\mathcal{G}_k(X)$ then gives a homotopy equivalence

$$\Phi : \mathcal{G}_k(X') \times \mathrm{Map}^*_0(\Sigma Y, BG) \to \mathcal{G}_k(X) \times \mathcal{G}_k(X) \to \mathcal{G}_k(X)$$

and therefore $\mathcal{G}_k(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{G}_k(X') \times \mathrm{Map}^*_0(Y, G)$. □

By Cellular Approximation, we may assume that the image of ϕ is contained in X_3. Let $\phi' : Y \to X_3$ be the map induced by restricting the range of ϕ to X_3. If $\Sigma\phi$ has a left homotopy inverse $p : \Sigma X \to \Sigma Y$, then the composition $p' : \Sigma(X_3) \hookrightarrow \Sigma X \xrightarrow{p} \Sigma Y$ is a left homotopy inverse of $\Sigma\phi'$. Therefore $\Sigma(X_3)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Sigma Y \vee \Sigma(X'_3)$, where X'_3 is the homotopy cofibre of ϕ', and the composition $S^4 \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} \Sigma(X_3) \xrightarrow{pinch} \Sigma Y$ is null homotopic. Conversely, the latter two conditions imply that $\Sigma\phi$ has a left homotopy inverse. Then we can rephrase Lemma 2.3 as follows.

Lemma 2.3'. *Suppose that there exist a CW-complex Y of dimension at most 3 and a map $\phi' : Y \to X_3$ such that: (1) $\Sigma X_3 \simeq \Sigma Y \vee \Sigma(X'_3)$ and (2) the composition*

$$S^4 \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} \Sigma(X_3) \xrightarrow{pinch} \Sigma Y$$

is null homotopic. Then there are homotopy equivalences

$$\Sigma X \simeq \Sigma Y \vee \Sigma X' \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_k(X) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(X') \times Map^*_0(Y, G).$$

Moreover, Σf factors through $\Sigma f'$ where $f' : S^3 \to X'_3$ is the attaching map of the 4-cell in X'.

Proof. From the above discussion, we only need to show that Σf factors through $\Sigma f'$. Expand the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & = & Y \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} \\ X_3 & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

to a homotopy cofibration diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Y & = & Y & \longrightarrow & * & \longrightarrow & \Sigma Y \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma\phi'} \\ X_3 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & S^4 & \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} & \Sigma(X_3) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle q'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q} & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma q'} \\ X'_3 & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & S^4 & \xrightarrow{\Sigma f'} & \Sigma(X'_3) \end{array}$$

which defines the maps q and q'. Let $p : \Sigma(X_3) \to \Sigma Y$ be a left homotopy inverse of $\Sigma\phi$ and let $j : \Sigma(X'_3) \to \Sigma(X_3)$ be the suspension of the skeletal inclusion. By Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\Sigma f \simeq j \circ \Sigma q \circ \Sigma f + \Sigma\phi \circ p \circ \Sigma f.$$

Since $p \circ \Sigma f$ is null homotopic, $\Sigma f \simeq j \circ \Sigma f'$ factors through $\Sigma f'$. □

Lemma 2.4. *Assume the conditions in Proposition 2.3. If $H^2(Y)$ is torsion, then the quotient map $q : X \to X'$ induces an isomorphism $q^* : H^2_{free}(X') \cong H^2_{free}(X)$ preserving cup products on the free parts.*

Proof. Consider the long exact sequence of reduced cohomology groups

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \tilde{H}^2(X') \xrightarrow{(\Sigma q)^*} \tilde{H}^2(X) \xrightarrow{(\Sigma\phi)^*} \tilde{H}^2(Y) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Again, use a left homotopy inverse and get an isomorphism

$$H^k(X) \cong H^k(Y) \oplus H^k(X').$$

Since $H^2(Y)$ is torsion, q^* is an isomorphism on the free part of second cohomology groups and the naturality of cup products implies the statement. □

Now we go back to calculate $\mathcal{G}_k(X)$ when X is simply-connected. The 3-skeleton X_3 is homotopy equivalent to $\bigvee_{i=1}^m S_i^2$ and the attaching map f is an element of $\pi_3(\bigvee_{i=1}^m S_i^2)$. By the Hilton-Milnor Theorem it can be written as $f = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i\eta_i + w$, where a_i is an integer, the map $\eta_i : S^3 \xrightarrow{\eta} S_i^2 \hookrightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^m S_i^2$ is the composition of the Hopf map η with the inclusion and w is a sum of Whitehead products. If Σf is not trivial, then some of the a_i's are odd. Let α be the number of odd a_i's, where $1 \le \alpha \le m$. Relabelling the spheres if necessary, we may assume that a_i is odd for $1 \le i \le \alpha$ and is even otherwise. We can imagine that f is a vector, a_i's are coordinates and the pinch map $p_i : \bigvee_{i=1}^m S_i^2 \to S_i$ is a coordinate function because p_i kills w and $p_i \circ f = a_i\eta$. In linear algebra, the expression of a vector can be simplified by change of basis. The following lemma realizes this idea geometrically.

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that $X_3 \simeq \bigvee_{i=1}^m S_i^2$ is a wedge of 2-spheres and $f = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i\eta_i + w$. Then there is a map $\tilde{f} : S^3 \to X_3$ such that its homotopy cofibre $\tilde{X}$ is homotopy equivalent to X and it satisfies $p_1 \circ \tilde{f} \simeq a_1\eta$ and $\Sigma\tilde{f} \simeq \bar{a}_1\bar{\eta}_1$, where $\bar{a}_1 \equiv a_1 \pmod 2$ and $\bar{\eta}_1 = \Sigma\eta_1$.*

Proof. If all a_i's are even, then Σf is zero in $\pi_4(\bigvee_{i=1}^m S^3)$ and set $\tilde{f}$ to be f. Otherwise α, the number of odd a_i's, is greater than zero. For each $1 < k \le \alpha$, define a map $\phi_k : X_3 \to X_3$ as follows. On $S_1^2 \vee S_k^2$, ϕ_k is the composition

$$S_1^2 \vee S_k^2 \xrightarrow{\sigma\vee\mathbb{1}} S_1^2 \vee S_{1'}^2 \vee S_k^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}\vee\nabla} S_1^2 \vee S_{k'}^2,$$

where σ is a comultiplication and ∇ is a folding map of $S_{1'}^2$ and S_k^2. On the remaining spheres, ϕ_k is the identity. Extend ϕ_k to the whole of X and call it $\tilde{\phi}_k$. Since $\tilde{\phi}_k$ induces an isomorphism on $H^*(X)$ and X is simply-connected, $\tilde{\phi}_k$ is a homotopy equivalence. Let $\tilde{f}_k$ be $\tilde{\phi}_k \circ f$ and $\tilde{X}_k$ be its homotopy cofibre. Then there is a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^3 & \xrightarrow{f} & X_3 & \longrightarrow & X \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_k} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\phi}_k} \\ S^3 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_k} & X_3 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X}_k \end{array}$$

By the five lemma, $(\tilde{\phi}_k)_*$ is an isomorphism on their cohomology groups, so by Whitehead's Theorem $(\tilde{\phi}_k)_*$ is a homotopy equivalence. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma\tilde{f}_k &= \Sigma(\phi_k \circ f) \\ &= (\Sigma\phi_k) \circ (\Sigma f) \\ &\simeq \bar{a}_1\bar{\eta}_1 + \cdots + (\bar{a}_1 + \bar{a}_k)\bar{\eta}_k + \cdots + \bar{a}_d\bar{\eta}_d \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{a}_i \equiv a_i \pmod 2$ and $\bar{\eta}_i = \Sigma\eta_i$. The composition $p_1 \circ \tilde{f}_k$ is homotopic to $a_1\eta$ because $p_1 \circ \phi_k$ is homotopic to p_1 and $p_1 \circ f = a_1\eta$.

Set $\tilde{f}$ to be $\phi_\alpha \circ \cdots \circ \phi_2 \circ f$ and set $\tilde{X}$ to be its homotopy cofibre. Then $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{X}$ satisfy the statement requirements. □

If Σf is trivial, then the 5-cell attaches to $\Sigma(X_3)$ at the basepoint and ΣX is homotopy equivalent to $S^5 \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^m S^3)$. Set Y to be $\bigvee_{i=1}^m S^2$ and set $\phi : Y \to X_3$ to be the identity. Then Lemma 2.3 recovers equation (1),

$$\mathcal{G}_k(X) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(X/Y) \times \mathrm{Map}_0^*(Y, G) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(S^4) \times \prod_{i=1}^m \Omega^2 G.$$

If Σf is not trivial, then we may replace X by $\tilde{X}$ and f by $\tilde{f}$ in Lemma 2.7 such that $\Sigma\tilde{f} \simeq \bar{\eta}_1$. The 5-cell only attaches to S_1^3 in $\Sigma(X_3)$. Set Y to be $\bigvee_{i=2}^m S_i^2$ and set $\phi : Y \hookrightarrow X_3$ to be the inclusion. The quotient X/Y is the mapping cone of $a\eta : S^3 \to S^2$ where a is odd. Denote it by $\mathbb{CP}^2_a$. We adopt this notation because $\mathbb{CP}^2_a$ is $\mathbb{CP}^2$ when $a = 1$ or -1. One may ask when $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2_a)$ can be replaced by $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2)$.

Lemma 2.6. *For a odd, $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2_a) \times \Omega^2 G$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2) \times \Omega^2 G$.*

Proof. Let g_a be the composition $g_a : S^3 \xrightarrow{\sigma} S^3 \vee S^3 \xrightarrow{\eta \vee a\eta} S^2 \vee S^2$ and let X_a be its homotopy cofibre. By Lemma 2.7, we can assume that Σg_a is $\bar{\eta}_1$ and the composition $S^4 \xrightarrow{\Sigma g_a} S_1^3 \vee S_2^3 \xrightarrow{pinch} S_1^3$ is null homotopic. Set Y to be S_2^2 and use Lemma 2.3 to get

$$\mathcal{G}_k(X_a) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(X_a/S_2^2) \times \mathcal{G}_k^*(S^2) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2) \times \Omega^2 G.$$

Swap S_1^2 and S_2^2 and repeat the process. Then we have

$$\mathcal{G}_k(X_a) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(X_a/S_1^2) \times \mathcal{G}_k^*(S^1) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2_a) \times \Omega^2 G.$$

Comparing the two equations gives the asserted homotopy equivalence. □

Lemma 2.7. *Assume that X_3 is homotopy equivalent to $\bigvee_{i=1}^m S_i^2$ and $H^*(X)$ satisfies Poincaré Duality. If Σf is null homotopic, then there are homotopy equivalences*

$$\Sigma X \simeq S^5 \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^m S^3) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_k(X) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(S^4) \times \prod_{i=1}^m \Omega^2 G$$

and if Σf is non-trivial, then there are homotopy equivalences

$$\Sigma X \simeq \Sigma\mathbb{CP}^2 \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^{m-1} S^3) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_k(X) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2) \times \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \Omega^2 G.$$

Proof. The case when Σf is trivial is already discussed above. Assume that Σf is not trivial. If X_3 is S^2, then Poincaré Duality will imply that the cup square product of the generator of $H^2(X)$ must be 1 or -1. Therefore X is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and the statement holds. Otherwise, write $f = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i\eta_i + w$ where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and w is a sum of Whitehead products. At least one of the a_i's is odd and it may be assumed to be a_1 without loss of generality. By Lemma 2.7 we may assume $\Sigma f \simeq \bar{\eta}_1$. Since the 5-cell only attaches to S_1^3, ΣX is homotopy equivalent to $\Sigma\mathbb{CP}^2 \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^{m-1} S^3)$. Set $Y = \bigvee_{i=2}^m S_i^2$ to be the wedge of 2-spheres

except S^2_1. Then the composition $S^4 \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} \bigvee_{i=1}^m S^2_i \xrightarrow{pinch} Y$ is null-homotopic and Lemma 2.3 implies that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$\mathcal{G}_k(X) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(X/Y) \times \mathrm{Map}^*_0(Y, G) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2_{a_1}) \times \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \Omega^2 G.$$

Since m is great than 1, there is at least one copy of $\Omega^2 G$ in the equation. Therefore we can replace $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2_{a_1})$ by $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2)$ by Lemma 2.6. □

Lemma 2.7 is a refinement of equation (1) for the non-spin case in [11]. It uses Lemma 2.6 which says that for a odd, $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2_a)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2)$ in the presence of $\Omega^2 G$. In fact after localizing away from 2, the attaching maps in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and $\mathbb{CP}^2_a$ are trivial, so $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2)$ and $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2_a)$ are both homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{G}_k(S^4) \times \Omega^2 G$ and hence homotopy equivalent to each other. Moreover, since $\Sigma(a\eta)$ is homotopic to $\Sigma\eta$, there is a homotopy equivalence $\varphi : \Sigma\mathbb{CP}^2 \to \Sigma\mathbb{CP}^2_a$. The evaluation fibrations

$$\Omega\mathrm{Map}_k(\Sigma\mathbb{CP}^2) \to \Omega G \to \mathrm{Map}^*_k(\Sigma\mathbb{CP}^2) \to \mathrm{Map}_k(\Sigma\mathbb{CP}^2) \to G \quad \text{and}$$

$$\Omega\mathrm{Map}_k(\Sigma\mathbb{CP}^2_a) \to \Omega G \to \mathrm{Map}^*_k(\Sigma\mathbb{CP}^2_a) \to \mathrm{Map}_k(\Sigma\mathbb{CP}^2_a) \to G$$

and the naturality of $\varphi^* : \mathrm{Map}^*_k(\Sigma\mathbb{CP}^2_a, BG) \to \mathrm{Map}^*_k(\Sigma\mathbb{CP}^2, BG)$ give a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Omega\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2_a) & \longrightarrow & \Omega G & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}^*_k(\Sigma\mathbb{CP}^2_a, BG) \\
\downarrow & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi^*} \\
\Omega\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2) & \longrightarrow & \Omega G & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}^*_k(\Sigma\mathbb{CP}^2, BG).
\end{array}$$

So $\Omega\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2)$ and $\Omega\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2_a)$ are homotopy equivalent. All these facts suggest that $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2)$ and $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2_a)$ may be homotopy equivalent, but we cannot determine the answer exactly.

We summarizing this section in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.8. *Suppose that X is an orientable 4-dimensional CW-complex with one 4-cell. Let $\{X^i\}$ be a sequence of CW-complexes such that (1) $X^1 = X$ and (2) $X^{i+1} = X^i/\phi_i(Y^i)$ for $1 \le i \le n-1$, where $\phi_i : Y^i \to X^i$ and Y^i is a CW-complex of dimension at most 3. If for $1 \le i \le n-1$ each triple (X^i, Y^i, ϕ_i) satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2.3, then there are homotopy equivalences*

$$\Sigma X \simeq \Sigma(X^n) \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} \Sigma Y^i) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_k(X) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(X^n) \times \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} Map^*_0(Y^i, G)$$

In addition, if (3) all $H^2(Y^i)$'s are torsion, (4) the 3-skeleton of X' is homotopy equivalent to $\bigvee_{i=1}^m S^2$ and (5) $H^*(X)$ satisfies Poincaré Duality, then we have

$$\Sigma(X) \simeq S^5 \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^{m} S^3) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_k(X) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(S^4) \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \Omega^2 G$$

when Σf is null homotopic and

$$\Sigma(X) \simeq \Sigma\mathbb{CP}^2 \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^{m-1} S^3) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_k(X) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2) \times \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \Omega^2 G$$

when Σf is non-trivial.

Proof. The first two homotopy equivalences clearly come from applying Lemma 2.3 to each triple (X^i, Y^i, ϕ_i). So we only need to prove the second part.

Suppose that the sequence satisfies conditions (3) – (5). Let f_i be the attaching maps of the 4-cell in X^i and let $q_i : X^i \to X^{i+1}$ be the quotient map. Since all the $H^2(Y^i)$'s are torsion, the composition

$$q : X = X^1 \xrightarrow{q_1} X^2 \xrightarrow{q_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{q_{n-1}} X^n$$

induces an isomorphism $q^* : H^*_{free}(X^n) \to H^*_{free}(X)$ which preserves cup products on the free part by Lemma 2.4 and hence $H^*_{free}(X^n)$ satisfies Poincaré Duality. Moreover, each Σf_{i+1} factors through Σf_i by Lemma 2.3', so Σf_n is trivial if and only if Σf is. Applying Lemma 2.7 to X^n implies the statement. □

3. Examples

From now on we assume that M is an orientable smooth closed 4 manifold. Using Morse Theory, in [7, Theorem 3.35] it is shown that M admits a CW-structure with one 4-cell. Let M_3 be the 3-skeleton of M and let $f : S^3 \to M_3$ be the attaching map of the 4-cell. In this section we will calculate the homotopy types of ΣM and $\mathcal{G}_k(M)$ when $\pi_1(M)$ is: (1) a free group $\mathbb{Z}^{*m}$; (2) a cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$ where p is an odd prime; (3) a free product of the previous two types $(\mathbb{Z}^{*m}) * (*_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{q_j})$, where q_j is a power of an odd prime.

3.1. The case when $\pi_1(M) = \mathbb{Z}^{*m}$.

When $\pi_1(M)$ is a free group, there is a homotopy equivalence [5]

$$M_3 \simeq (\bigvee_{i=1}^m S^3) \vee (\bigvee_{j=1}^d S^2) \vee (\bigvee_{k=1}^m S^1).$$

For $1 \leq \alpha \leq 3$, let S_i^α be the ith copy of the α-sphere and let p_i^α be the composition $M_3 \xrightarrow{pinch} S_i^\alpha \hookrightarrow M_3$. By Lemma 2.2, Σf is homotopic to the sum $\sum_{\alpha,i} \Sigma(p_i^\alpha \circ f)$. For each S_i^1, the map $p_i^1 \circ f$ is null homotopic because $\pi_3(S^1)$ is zero. Note that $p_i^3 \circ f$ is the differential map of the 4-cell to S_i^3 in the cellular chain complex. It is zero since M is orientable and $H_4(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore each $p_i^\alpha \circ f$ is null homotopic for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $\alpha = 1, 3$. Set X to be M, set Y to be $(\bigvee_{i=1}^m S^3) \vee (\bigvee_{k=1}^m S^1)$ and set $\phi : Y \to M_3$ to be the inclusion. Then the composition $S^4 \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} \Sigma(M_3) \xrightarrow{pinch} \Sigma(Y)$ is null homotopic. Note that $H^2(Y)$ is zero and M_3/Y is $\bigvee_{j=1}^d S^2$. Using Proposition 2.8 we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $\pi_1(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{*m}$. If Σf is null homotopic, then there are homotopy equivalences*

$$\Sigma M \simeq S^5 \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^m S^4) \vee (\bigvee_{j=1}^d S^3) \vee (\bigvee_{k=1}^m S^2)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_k(M) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(S^4) \times \prod_{i=1}^m \Omega_0^3 G \times \prod_{j=1}^d \Omega^2 G \times \prod_{k=1}^m \Omega G.$$

If Σf is non-trivial, then there are homotopy equivalences

$$\Sigma M \simeq \Sigma\mathbb{CP}^2 \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^{m} S^4) \vee (\bigvee_{j=1}^{d-1} S^3) \vee (\bigvee_{k=1}^{m} S^2)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_k(M) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2) \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \Omega_0^3 G \times \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \Omega^2 G \times \prod_{k=1}^{m} \Omega G.$$

3.2. **The case when $\pi_1(M) = \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$.** For $n \geq 2$, recall that an n-dimensional Moore space $P^n(k)$ is defined to be the mapping cone of the degree k map $S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{k} S^{n-1}$. Its reduced homology groups are zero except $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(P^n(k)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_k$. Denote its generator by u. With $\mathbb{Z}_k$ coefficients, the Universal Coefficient Theorem implies that $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(P^n(k);\mathbb{Z}_k) \cong \mathbb{Z}_k$ is generated by u, $\tilde{H}_n(P^n(k);\mathbb{Z}_k) \cong \mathbb{Z}_k$ is generated by $v = \beta u$, the Bockstein homomorphism image of u, and $\tilde{H}_i(P^n(k);\mathbb{Z}_k)$ is zero for $i \neq n-1, n$.

For any space X, define the mod-k homotopy group $\pi_n(X;\mathbb{Z}_k)$ to be $[P^n(k), X]$. The comultiplication of $P^n(k)$ induces a group multiplication in $\pi_n(X;\mathbb{Z}_k)$ for $n \geq 3$, which becomes abelian for $n \geq 4$ since $\Sigma P^n(k) \simeq P^{n+1}(k)$. Moreover, there is a mod-k Hurewicz homomorphism analogous to the integral Hurewicz homomorphism

$$\bar{\varphi} : \pi_n(X;\mathbb{Z}_k) \to H_n(X;\mathbb{Z}_k), \quad f \mapsto \bar{\varphi}(f) = f_*(v).$$

It is natural and compatible with Bockstein homomorphisms [8]

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_{n+1}(X;\mathbb{Z}_k) & \xrightarrow{\beta_\pi} & \pi_n(X) & \xrightarrow{k} & \pi_n(X) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(X;\mathbb{Z}_k) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \bar{\varphi}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \bar{\varphi}} & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & H_{n+1}(X;\mathbb{Z}_k) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & H_n(X) & \xrightarrow{k} & H_n(X) & \longrightarrow & H_n(X;\mathbb{Z}_k) & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}$$

where φ is the standard Hurewicz homomorphism and β_π is the Bockstein homomorphism on homotopy groups. Let $K_n(k)$ be the kernel of φ.

The mod-k Hopf invariant is defined in a similar way to the integral Hopf invariant. For a map $g : P^3(k) \to P^3(k)$, let C_g be the mapping cone of g, and let α and β be generators of $H^2(C_g;\mathbb{Z}_k)$ and $H^4(C_g;\mathbb{Z}_k)$. Then the mod-k Hopf invariant $\bar{H}(g) \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ is defined by the formula $\alpha \cup \alpha \equiv \bar{H}(g)\beta \pmod{k}$.

Lemma 3.2. [9, Corollary 11.12] *Let $n \geq 3$ and p be an odd prime. Then there is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \to K_n(p^r) \to \pi_n(P^n(p^r);\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H_n(P^n(p^r);\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}) \to 0$$

where $K_3(p^r) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$ and $K_n(p^r) \cong 0$ for $n \geq 4$. Moreover, if $g \in K_3(p^r)$, then it is null homotopic if and only if its mod-p^r Hopf invariant $\bar{H}(g) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}$.

Suppose that $\pi_1(M)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$. Poincaré Duality and the Universal Coefficient Theorem imply that M has homology groups

$$H_i(M) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & i = 0, 4 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} & i = 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus d} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} & i = 2 \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

In order to apply Proposition 2.8 to calculate $\mathcal{G}_k(M)$, we need to study the structure of $\Sigma(M_3)$.

Lemma 3.3. *If p is an odd prime, then $\pi_4(P^4(p^r))$ and $\pi_4(P^3(p^r))$ are zero.*

Proof. After localizing away from p, any $P^n(p^r)$ is contractible so $\pi_4(P^4(p^r))$ and $\pi_4(P^3(p^r))$ are p-torsion. Localize at p. Consider the long exact sequence of homotopy groups for the pair $(P^4(p^r), S^3)$

$$\cdots \to \pi_4(S^3) \to \pi_4(P^4(p^r)) \xrightarrow{j_*} \pi_4(P^4(p^r), S^3) \to \cdots$$

The pair $(P^4(p^r), S^3)$ is 3-connected, so $\pi_4(P^4(p^r), S^3)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ by the Hurewicz Theorem. Since $\pi_4(S^3) = 0$ at odd primes, $j_* : \pi_4(P^4(p^r)) \to \pi_4(P^4(p^r), S^3) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is an injection. But $\pi_4(P^4(p^r))$ is torsion, so this injection only makes sense if $\pi_4(P^4(p^r)) = 0$.

Now we calculate $\pi_4(P^3(p^r))$. It is equal to $\pi_4(F^3\{p^r\})$, where $F^3\{p^r\}$ is the homotopy fibre of the pinch map $P^3(p^r) \to S^3$. By [8, Proposition 11.7.1], there is a p-local homotopy equivalence

$$\Omega F^3\{p^r\} \simeq S^1 \times \Omega\Sigma(\bigvee_\alpha P^{n_\alpha}(p^r)) \times \prod_j S^{2p^j-1}\{p^{r+1}\},$$

where $S^n\{p^{r+1}\}$ is the fibre of the degree p^{r+1} map $S^n \xrightarrow{p^{r+1}} S^n$ and the dimension n_α is either 3 or higher than 4. On the right side, $\pi_3(S^1) = 0$ and $\pi_3(S^{2p^j-1}\{p^{r+1}\}) = 0$ since $S^{2p^j-1}\{p^{r+1}\}$ is 3-connected for each $j \geq 1$. For the remaining factor of $\Omega F^3\{p^r\}$, consider the string of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_3(\Omega\Sigma(\bigvee_\alpha P^{n_\alpha}(p^r))) &\cong \pi_3(\prod_\alpha \Omega\Sigma P^{n_\alpha}(p^r)) \\
&\cong \pi_3(\Omega\Sigma P^3(p^r)) \\
&\cong \pi_4(P^4(p^r)) \\
&\cong 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The first isomorphism is obtained from the Hilton-Milnor Theorem using dimension and connectivity considerations. The second isomorphism holds since only one n_α equals 3 while the rest are strictly larger than 4. The third isomorphism holds by adjunction, and the isomorphism with zero holds by the first assertion of the lemma. Therefore $\pi_4(F^3\{p^r\}) \cong 0$ and hence so is $\pi_4(P^3(p^r))$. □

Lemma 3.4. *If $\pi_1(M)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$ for p an odd prime, then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\Sigma(M_3) \simeq P^4(p^r) \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^d S^3) \vee P^3(p^r).$$

In addition, there exists a map $\epsilon : P^2(p^r) \to M_3$ such that $\epsilon_* : \pi_1(P^2(p^r)) \to \pi_1(M_3)$ is an isomorphism and $\Sigma\epsilon : P^3(p^r) \to \Sigma(M_3)$ has a left homotopy inverse.

Proof. After suspension, $\Sigma(M_3)$ is simply-connected and admits a minimal cell structure. By [4, Proposition 4H.3] $\Sigma(M_3)$ is homotopy equivalent to the mapping cone of

$$h : P^3(p^r) \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^d S^2_i) \to P^3(p^r)$$

where h induces a trivial homomorphism $h_* : H_2(P^3(p^r) \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^d S^2)) \to H_2(P^3(p^r))$.

Now we claim that h is null homotopic. Since

$$[P^3(p^r) \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^d S_i^2), P^3(p^r)] \cong [P^3(p^r), P^3(p^r)] \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^d [S_i^2, P^3(p^r)]),$$

we may write $h = h' \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^d h_i'')$, where $h' \in [P^3(p^r), P^3(p^r)]$ and $h_i'' \in [S_i^2, P^3(p^r)]$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_3(p^r) & \longrightarrow & \pi_3(P^3(p^r); \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & H_3(P^3(p^r); \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_\pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_H} \\ & & & & \pi_2(P^3(p^r)) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & H_2(P^3(p^r)) \end{array}$$

where the first row is the exact sequence in Lemma 3.2 and the square is due to the compatibility of mod-p^r Hurewicz homomorphisms and Bockstein homomorphisms. Since h_* is trivial, so is h'_* and therefore $\varphi \circ \beta_\pi(h') = \beta_H \circ \varphi(h') = \beta_H \circ ((h')_* v) = 0$. Note that β_H is an isomorphism in this case, so h' is in the kernel $K_3(p^r)$. Denote the mapping cone of h' by $C_{h'}$. Since $\Sigma(M_3) \simeq C_{h'} \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^d S^3)$ is a suspension, $H^*(\Sigma(M_3); \mathbb{Z}_{p^r})$ has trivial cup products and so does $H^*(C_{h'}; \mathbb{Z}_{p^r})$. Therefore the mod-$p^r$ Hopf invariant $\bar{H}(h')$ is zero and h' is null homotopic by Lemma 3.2.

For each i, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_2(S_i^2) & \xrightarrow{[h_i'']} & \pi_2(P^3(p^r)) \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} \\ H_2(S_i^2) & \xrightarrow{(h_i'')_*} & H_2(P^3(p^r)) \end{array}$$

Both Hurewicz homomorphisms φ are isomorphisms by the Hurewicz Theorems. The induced homomorphism $(h_i'')_*$ is trivial and the diagram implies that h_i'' is null homotopic.

Since h is null homotopic, there is a homotopy equivalence

$$\Sigma(M_3) \simeq P^4(p^r) \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^d S^3) \vee P^3(p^r).$$

Now we prove the second part of the lemma. The 1-skeleton of M is a wedge of spheres $\bigvee_{i=1}^m S_i^1$. Let $j : \bigvee_{i=1}^m S_i^1 \hookrightarrow M$ and $j_i : S_i^1 \hookrightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^m S_i^1 \hookrightarrow M$ be inclusions. Their induced homomorphisms $j_* : \pi_1(M_1) \to \pi_1(M)$ is a surjection and $(j_i)_* : \pi_1(S_i^1) \to \pi_1(M)$ is a reduction map. Therefore at least one of the $(j_i)_*$'s sends the identity $\mathbb{1}_{S_i^2}$ to the generator of $\pi_1(M)$. Without loss of generality we assume that it is S_1^1. Extend j_1 to $P^2(p^r)$ through the pinch map β.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^1 & \xrightarrow{p^r} & S^1 & \xrightarrow{\beta} & P^2(p^r) \\ & & {\scriptstyle j_1}\downarrow & \swarrow_{\epsilon} & \\ & & M & & \end{array}$$

By Cellular Approximation the range of ϵ can be restricted to M_3. Note that both

$$\beta_* : \pi_1(S^1) \to \pi_1(P^2(p^r)) \quad \text{and} \quad (j_1)_* : \pi_1(S^1) \to \pi_1(M_3)$$

are reduction maps and $\pi_1(P^2(p^r)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$, so $\epsilon_* : \pi_1(P^2(p^r)) \to \pi_1(M_3)$ is an isomorphism. By the Hurewicz Theorem, the induced homology group homomorphism $\epsilon_* : H_1(P^2(p^r)) \to H_1(M_3)$ is an isomorphism and so is $(\Sigma\epsilon)_* : H_2(P^3(p^r)) \to H_2(\Sigma(M_3))$. Therefore the composition

$$P^3(p^r) \xrightarrow{\Sigma\epsilon} \Sigma(M_3) \xrightarrow{\simeq} P^4(p^r) \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^{d} S^3_i) \vee P^3(p^r) \xrightarrow{pinch} P^3(p^r)$$

induces an isomorphism in homology and so is a homotopy equivalence. □

Motivated by the notation of the loop space $\Omega^n G = \mathrm{Map}^*(S^n, G)$, we denote the pointed mapping space $Map^*(P^n(m), G)$ by $\Omega^n G\{m\}$. Now we can state the decompositions of ΣM and $\mathcal{G}_k(M)$ when $\pi_1(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$.

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that $\pi_1(M)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$ where p is an odd prime. If Σf is null homotopic, then there are homotopy equivalences*

$$\Sigma M \simeq S^5 \vee P^4(p^r) \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^{d} S^3) \vee P^3(p^r)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_k(M) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(S^4) \times \Omega^3_0 G\{p^r\} \times \prod_{i=1}^{d} \Omega^2 G \times \Omega^2 G\{p^r\}.$$

If Σf is non-trivial, then there are homotopy equivalences

$$\Sigma M \simeq \Sigma\mathbb{CP}^2 \vee P^4(p^r) \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^{d-1} S^3) \vee P^3(p^r)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_k(M) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2) \times \Omega^3_0 G\{p^r\} \times \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} \Omega^2 G \times \Omega^2 G\{p^r\},$$

where $\Omega^3_0 G\{p^r\}$ is the connected component containing the basepoint.

Proof. In the following we construct a sequence $\{X^i\}$ of CW-complexes satisfying the conditions in Proposition 2.8 for M. Let X^i_3 be the 3-skeleton in X^i and let f_i be the attaching map of the 4-cell.

Step 1: By Lemma 3.4, there is a map $\epsilon : P^2(p^r) \to M_3$ such that $\Sigma\epsilon : \Sigma P^2(p^r) \to \Sigma(M_3)$ has a left homotopy inverse and $\epsilon_* : \pi_1(P^2(p^r)) \to \pi_1(M_3)$ is an isomorphism. Set X^1 to be M, set Y^1 to be $P^2(p^r)$ and set ϕ_1 to be ϵ. Then the composition $S^4 \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} \Sigma(M_3) \xrightarrow{pinch} P^3(p^r)$ is null homotopic since $\pi_4(P^3(p^r)) \cong 0$ by Lemma 3.3. Then we use Lemma 2.3 and get

$$\Sigma M \simeq \Sigma(X^1/\phi_1(Y^1)) \vee P^3(p^r) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_k(M) = \mathcal{G}_k(X^1/\phi_1(Y^1)) \times \Omega G\{p^r\}.$$

Step 2: Set X^2 to be $X^1/\phi_1(Y^1)$. Then X^2 is simply connected and admits a minimal cell structure, that is $X^2_3 \simeq \bigvee_{i=1}^{d} S^2 \vee P^3(p^r)$ and $X^2 \simeq X^2_3 \cup_{f_2} e^4$. Set Y^2 to be $P^3(p^r)$ and set $\phi_2 : P^4(p^r) \to \Sigma(X^1_3)$ to be the inclusion. The composition $S^4 \xrightarrow{\Sigma f_2} \Sigma(X^2_3) \xrightarrow{pinch} \Sigma(Y^2)$ is null homotopic since $\pi_4(P^4(p^r))$ is zero by Lemma 3.3. Then we use Lemma 2.3 and get

$$\Sigma(X^2) \simeq \Sigma(X^2/\phi_2(Y^2)) \vee P^4(p^r) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_k(X^2) = \mathcal{G}_k(X^2/\phi_2(Y^2)) \times \Omega^3_0 G\{p^r\}.$$

Step 3: Set X^3 to be $X^2/\phi_2(Y^2)$. The 3-skeleton X^3_3 is homotopy equivalent to $\bigvee_{i=1}^{d} S^2$. Note that $H^2(Y^i)$ is torsion for $i = 1, 2$. Then Proposition 2.8 implies the asserted statement. □

3.3. **The case when $\pi_1 = (\mathbb{Z}^{*m}) * (*_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{q_j})$.** Suppose that $\pi_1(M) \cong (\mathbb{Z}^{*m}) * (*_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{q_j})$, where q_i is a power of an odd prime. It is known that for some number l there is a diffeomorphism

$$M\#_l(S^2 \times S^2) \cong N\#(\#_{j=1}^n L_j), \tag{3}$$

where N and L_j's are orientable smooth closed 4-manifolds with $\pi_1(N) = \mathbb{Z}^{*m}$ and $\pi_1(L_j) = \mathbb{Z}_{q_j}$ [6, Theorem 1.3]. Using the fact that the 3-skeleton of a connected sum $A\#B$ is a wedge of the 3-skeletons of A and B, we can calculate the suspensions and gauge groups for both sides of (3).

Lemma 3.6. *There are homotopy equivalences*

$$\Sigma(M\#_l(S^2 \times S^2)) \simeq (\Sigma M) \vee (\bigvee_{t=1}^{2l} S^3) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_k(M\#_l(S^2 \times S^2)) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(M) \times \prod_{t=1}^{2l} \Omega^2 G.$$

Proof. By induction we only need to show the lemma for $l = 1$. The 3-skeleton of $M\#(S^2 \times S^2)$ is $M_3 \vee S^2 \vee S^2$. There is a map $q : M\#(S^2 \times S^2) \to M \vee (S^2 \times S^2)$ which collapses the connecting cylinder between M and $S^2 \times S^2$. So we have a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M_3 \vee (S^2 \vee S^2) & = & M_3 \vee S^2 \vee S^2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
M\#(S^2 \times S^2) & \xrightarrow{q} & M \vee (S^2 \times S^2) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S^4 & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & S^4 \vee S^4 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma f_\#} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma f \vee \Sigma f_S} \\
\Sigma(M_3) \vee S^3 \vee S^3 & = & \Sigma(M_3) \vee S^3 \vee S^3
\end{array}$$

where $f_\#$ and f_S are the attaching maps in $M\#(S^2 \times S^2)$ and $S^2 \times S^2$ respectively. Set Y to be $S^2 \vee S^2$ and set $\phi : S^2 \vee S^2 \hookrightarrow M_3 \vee S^2 \vee S^2$ to be the inclusion. Since f_S is a Whitehead product, the composition $S^4 \xrightarrow{\Sigma f_\#} \Sigma(M_3) \vee \Sigma Y \xrightarrow{pinch} \Sigma Y$ is null homotopic and the statement follows from Lemma 2.3. □

Theorem 3.7. *If $\pi_1(M) \cong (\mathbb{Z}^{*m}) * (*_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{q_j})$ where q_j is a power of an odd prime, then there exists an integer l such that the suspension of $M\#_l(S^2 \times S^2)$ is homotopy equivalent to either*

$$S^5 \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^{m} S^4) \vee (\bigvee_{j=1}^{n} P^4(q_j)) \vee (\bigvee_{k=1}^{d+2l} S^3) \vee (\bigvee_{j'=1}^{n} P^3(q_{j'})) \vee (\bigvee_{i'=1}^{m} S^2) \qquad \textit{or}$$

$$\Sigma\mathbb{CP}^2 \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^{m} S^4) \vee (\bigvee_{j=1}^{n} P^4(q_j)) \vee (\bigvee_{k=1}^{d+2l-1} S^3) \vee (\bigvee_{j'=1}^{n} P^3(q_{j'})) \vee (\bigvee_{i'=1}^{m} S^2).$$

In the first case, we have

$$\mathcal{G}_k(M) \times \prod_{t=1}^{2l} \Omega^2 G \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(S^4) \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \Omega^3 G \times \prod_{j=1}^{n} \Omega_0^3 G\{q_j\} \times \prod_{k=1}^{d+2l} \Omega^2 G \times \prod_{j'=1}^{n} \Omega^2 G\{q_{j'}\} \times \prod_{i'=1}^{m} \Omega G.$$

In the second case, we have

$$\mathcal{G}_k(M)\times\prod_{t=1}^{2l}\Omega^2G\simeq\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2)\times\prod_{i=1}^{m}\Omega^3G\times\prod_{j=1}^{n}\Omega^3_0G\{q_j\}\times\prod_{k=1}^{d+2l-1}\Omega^2G\times\prod_{j'=1}^{n}\Omega^2G\{q_{j'}\}\times\prod_{i'=1}^{m}\Omega G.$$

Proof. By (3) there exists a diffeomorphism $M\#_{t=1}^{l}(S^2\times S^2)\cong N\#(\#_{j=1}^{n}L_j)$ so it is equivalent to calculate $\Sigma(N\#(\#_{j=1}^{n}L_j))$ and $\mathcal{G}_k(N\#(\#_{j=1}^{n}L_j))$. In the following we construct a sequence $\{X^i\}$ of CW-complexes satisfying the conditions in Proposition 2.8 for $N\#(\#_{j=1}^{n}L_j)$. Let X^i_3 be the 3-skeleton of X^i and let f_i be the attaching map of the 4-cell.

Step 1: Set X^1 to be $N\#(\#_{j=1}^{m}L_j)$. Its 3-skeleton X^1_3 is a wedge sum of the 3-skeletons of N and the L_j's. In particular, the 3-skeleton of N is $(\bigvee_{i=1}^{m}S^3_i)\vee(\bigvee_{k=1}^{d'}S^2_k)\vee(\bigvee_{i'=1}^{m}S^1_{i'})$ [5]. Set $Y^1=(\bigvee_{i=1}^{m}S^3_i)\vee(\bigvee_{i'=1}^{m}S^1_{i'})$ to be the wedge of 1-spheres and 3-spheres and set $\phi_1:Y^1\hookrightarrow X^1_3$ to be the inclusion. For each S^1_i, the composition $S^3\xrightarrow{f_1}X^1_3\xrightarrow{pinch}S^1_i$ is null homotopic since $\pi_3(S^1)$ is zero. And for each S^3_i, the composition $S^3\xrightarrow{f_1}X^1_3\xrightarrow{pinch}S^3_i$ is the differential map of the 4-cell in the cellular chain complex, and so is zero because $H_4(X^1)\cong\mathbb{Z}$. Then we use Lemma 2.3 and get

$$\Sigma(X^1)\simeq\Sigma(X^1/Y^1)\vee(\bigvee_{i=1}^{m}S^4)\vee(\bigvee_{i'=1}^{m}S^2)\quad\text{and}$$
$$\mathcal{G}_k(X^1)\simeq\mathcal{G}_k(X^1/Y^1)\times\prod_{i=1}^{m}\Omega^3_0G\times\prod_{i'=1}^{m}\Omega G.$$

Step 2: Set X^2 to be X^1/Y^1. Its 3-skeleton X^2_3 is a wedge sum of 2-spheres and the 3-skeletons of the L_j's. Denote the 3-skeleton of L_j by L'_j. By Lemma 3.4, $\Sigma L'_j$ is homotopy equivalent to $P^3(q_j)\vee(\bigvee_{k=1}^{d_j}S^3)\vee P^4(q_j)$ and there exists a map $\epsilon_j:P^2(q_j)\to L'_j$ such that $\Sigma\epsilon_j:P^3(q_j)\to\Sigma L'_j$ has a left homotopy inverse and $\epsilon_*:\pi_1(P^2(p^r))\to\pi_1(L'_j)$ is an isomorphism. Set Y^2 to be $\bigvee_{j=1}^{n}P^2(q_j)$ and set ϕ_2 to be the composition

$$\bigvee_{j=1}^{n}P^2(q_j)\xrightarrow{\vee_{j=1}^{n}\epsilon_j}\bigvee_{j=1}^{n}L'_j\hookrightarrow X^1_3.$$

For each j, the composition $S^4\xrightarrow{\Sigma f_2}\Sigma X^2_3\xrightarrow{pinch}P^3(q_j)$ is null homotopic since $\pi_4(P^3(q_j))$ is zero by Lemma 3.3. Then we use Lemma 2.3 and get

$$\Sigma(X^2)\simeq\Sigma(X^2/\phi_2(Y^2))\vee(\bigvee_{j=1}^{n}P^3(q_j))\quad\text{and}\quad\mathcal{G}_k(X^2)\simeq\mathcal{G}_k(X^2/\phi_2(Y^2))\times\prod_{j=1}^{n}\Omega^2G\{q_j\}.$$

Step 3: Set X^3 to be $X^2/\phi_2(Y^2)$. By the van Kampen Theorem $(\phi_2)_*:\pi_1(Y^2)\to\pi_1(X^2)$ is an isomorphism and X^3 is simply connected. Therefore it admits a minimal cell structure and $X^3_3\simeq(\bigvee_{k=1}^{d+2l}S^2)\vee(\bigvee_{j=1}^{m}P^3(q_j))$. Set Y^3 to be $\bigvee_{j=1}^{m}P^3(q_j)$ and set $\phi_3:Y^3\to X^3_3$ to be the inclusion. For each j, the composition $S^4\xrightarrow{\Sigma f_3}\Sigma(X^3_3)\xrightarrow{pinch}P^4(q_j)$ is null homotopic since $\pi_4(P^4(q_j))$ is zero by Lemma 3.3. Then we use Lemma 2.3 and get

$$\Sigma(X^3)\simeq\Sigma(X^3/Y^3)\vee(\bigvee_{j=1}^{n}P^4(q_j))\quad\text{and}\quad\mathcal{G}_k(X^3)\simeq\mathcal{G}_k(X^3/Y^3)\times\prod_{j=1}^{n}\Omega^3_0G\{q_j\}.$$

Step 4: Set X^4 to be X^3/Y^3. Its 3-skeleton X^4_3 is homotopy equivalent to $\bigvee_{k=1}^{d+2l} S^2$. Since $H^2(Y^i)$ is torsion free for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, Proposition 2.8 implies that

$$\Sigma(X^4) \simeq S^5 \vee (\bigvee_{k=1}^{d+2l} S^3) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_k(X^4) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(S^4) \times \prod_{k=1}^{d+2l} \Omega^2 G$$

when Σf_1 is null homotopic and

$$\Sigma(X^4) \simeq \Sigma\mathbb{CP}^2 \vee (\bigvee_{k=1}^{d+2l-1} S^3) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_k(X^4) \simeq \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2) \times \prod_{k=1}^{d+2l-1} \Omega^2 G$$

when Σf_1 is non-trivial.

Substituting the decomposition for $\mathcal{G}_k(X^s)$ into that for $G_k(X^{s-1})$ for $2 \leq s \leq 4$ and recalling that $X^1_3 = N\#(\#_{j=1}^n L_j)$ gives the homotopy decompositions asserted by the Theorem. □

Remark: The proofs of Theorem 3.1 and 3.5 are also valid for any orientable 4-dimensional CW-complex with one 4-cell, while Theorem 3.7 requires the smoothness of M for the stable diffeomorphism splitting in [6]. One can ask under what condition the stabilizing factor $\#_l(S^2 \times S^2)$ can be cancelled so that the factors $\prod_{t=1}^{2l} \Omega^2 G$ can be removed from the equation. If this can be achieved, Theorem 3.1 and 3.5 will be corollaries of Theorem 3.7 when M is smooth.

References

[1] M. Atiyah and R. Bott, *The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces*, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences, **308**(1505), (1983), 523 – 615.

[2] F. Cohen, J. Moore, J. Neisendorfer, *Torsion in homotopy groups*, Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, **109**, (1979), 121 – 168.

[3] M. Greenberg and J. Harper, *Algebraic Topology: A First Course*, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, 1981.

[4] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[5] T. Matumoto and A. Katanaga, *On 4-dimensional closed manifolds with free fundamental groups*, Hiroshima Math J, **25**, (1995), 367 – 370.

[6] M. Kreck, W. Lück and P. Teichner, Stable prime decompositions of 4-manifolds *Prospects in Topology* edited by F. Quinn, Annuals of Mathematics Studies, **138**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, (1995), 251 – 269.

[7] Y. Matsumoto, *An Introduction to Morse Theory (Translations of Mathematical Monographs)*, American Mathematical Society, 2002.

[8] J. Neisendorfer, *Algebraic Methods in Unstable Homotopy Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[9] J. Neisendorfer, *Homotopy groups with coefficients*, Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications, **8**, (2010), 247 – 338.

[10] W. Sutherland, *Function spaces related to gauge groups*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, **121A**, (1992), 185 – 190.

[11] S. Theriault, *Odd primary homotopy decompositions of gauge groups*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology, **10**, (2010), 535 – 564.

Mathematical Sciences, University of Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK

E-mail address: tls1g14@soton.ac.uk